

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/697,783	HACKWORTH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jennifer H. Gay	3672	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Jennifer H. Gay.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Allowed.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 December 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11,12

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**Jennifer H. Gay**  
 Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant to inquire as to the correct dependency of claims 11 and 12 because they were recited as depending from claim 10 while claim 10 had been cancelled. Applicant indicated that claims 11 and 12 should be amended to depend from claim 1. An Examiner's Amendment to follow..